

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Six-dimensional  $D_N$  theory and four-dimensional SO-USp quivers

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. JHEP07(2009)067 (http://iopscience.iop.org/1126-6708/2009/07/067)

The Table of Contents and more related content is available

Download details: IP Address: 80.92.225.132 The article was downloaded on 03/04/2010 at 09:09

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

PUBLISHED BY IOP PUBLISHING FOR SISSA

RECEIVED: June 19, 2009 ACCEPTED: July 6, 2009 PUBLISHED: July 20, 2009

# Six-dimensional $D_N$ theory and four-dimensional SO-USp quivers

# Yuji Tachikawa

School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540, U.S.A.

E-mail: yujitach@ias.edu

ABSTRACT: We realize four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal quiver gauge theories with alternating SO and USp gauge groups as compactifications of the six-dimensional  $D_N$ theory with defects. The construction can be used to analyze infinitely strongly-coupled limits and S-dualities of such gauge theories, resulting in a new class of isolated fourdimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal field theories with  $SO(2N)^3$  flavor symmetry.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetry and Duality, Extended Supersymmetry, String Duality

ARXIV EPRINT: 0905.4074



# Contents

1	Introduction					
<b>2</b>	Review: 6d $A_{N-1}$ theory and $SU(N)$ quivers					
	2.1 Superconformal quivers, G-curve and Young tableaux	3				
	2.2 Punctures and associated flavor symmetries	6				
	2.3 SCFT with $SU(N)^3$ flavor symmetry	6				
3	6d $D_N$ theory and SO-USp quivers					
	3.1 Preliminary comments on SO and USp gauge groups	8				
	3.2 From type IIA brane configuration to the G-curve	10				
	3.3 SCFT with $SO(2N)^3$ flavor symmetry	13				
	3.4 Tails, tableaux and flavor symmetries	15				
	3.5 Duality with SCFT with $E_7$ flavor symmetry	19				
4	Discussion	20				
$\mathbf{A}$	A $SO(4)$ -USp(2) quivers					
В	3 Curves for general SO-USp quivers					

#### 1 Introduction

A method to systematically understand and construct a large class of four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal field theories (SCFTs) was recently presented by Gaiotto in [1]. By means of a clever rewriting of the known Seiberg-Witten curves for quiver theories based on SU gauge groups [2], Gaiotto showed that such a theory arises as a compactification on a Riemann surface of the six-dimensional  $A_{N-1}$  theory with (2,0) supersymmetry, with punctures associated to defect operators. The marginal couplings of a quiver theory are encoded in the moduli of the punctured Riemann surface, and both weakly-coupled and strongly-coupled limits were shown to correspond to degenerations of the Riemann surface. This approach gave a unified perspective on the S-dualities of SU(2) gauge theory with four flavors [3], which involved the triality of SO(8) flavor symmetry, and of SU(3) gauge theory with six flavors, the strongly-coupled limit of which is dual to the mysterious isolated SCFT with  $E_6$  flavor symmetry [4] coupled to an SU(2) gauge group with one flavor [5]. It also predicted a whole new family of SCFTs with SU(N)<sup>3</sup> flavor symmetry which are isolated, i.e. have no marginal couplings. The holographic description of these theories was found and discussed in [6].

We call this Riemann surface with punctures the *G*-curve of the theory, in order to avoid confusion and to distinguish it from the Seiberg-Witten curve, which is, roughly speaking, an *N*-sheeted cover of the G-curve. In this framework, vacuum expectation values (vevs) of dimension-*d* Coulomb branch operators are encoded in a degree-*d* differential on the Riemann surface, which is allowed to have poles at each of the punctures. These differentials are the scalar fields of the six-dimensional  $A_{N-1}$  theory. Therefore, from the six-dimensional viewpoint, each puncture corresponds to a defect operator that introduces singularities to fields, much like 't Hooft loops or surface operators do in four-dimensional gauge theory.

It was observed in [1] that the punctures of the  $A_{N-1}$  theory are naturally labeled by Young tableaux with N boxes, which also specify embeddings of SU(2) into SU(N). It was also found that there is a natural mapping between tableaux and tails of conformal quivers. In other words, we can obtain information about the elusive six-dimensional conformal field theory from the study of the quiver theories with SU gauge groups.

The main objective of this paper is to repeat Gaiotto's analysis for the quivers with USp and SO gauge groups, by realizing them using M5-branes at an M-theory orientifold. Recall that six-dimensional  $A_{N-1}$  theory is realized as the low-energy effective theory on the N coincident M5-branes; one can then introduce M-theoretic orientifolding, which flips five directions of the spacetime. 2N M5-branes on top of the orientifold singularity realize in the low energy limit the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theory.

We first show that the Seiberg-Witten curves of these quivers can be recast into a form which makes manifest their correspondence to compactifications of the  $D_N$  theory on Riemann surfaces with punctures. We construct new isolated SCFTs with  $SO(2N)^3$ flavor symmetry, which appears when the Riemann surface on which the  $D_N$  theory is compactified degenerates and develops a sphere with three necks attached.

We then study defects of the  $D_N$  theory via an analysis of the tails of superconformal quivers. We find that defects are naturally labeled by embeddings of SU(2) into either SO(2N) or USp(2N - 2).

We will see, along the way, that the compactification of the  $A_3$  theory and of the  $D_3$ theory on the same surface gives the same four-dimensional theory. The way it works is rather nontrivial: in the four-dimensional description the  $A_3$  theory involves hypermultiplets in the **4** of SU(4) while the  $D_3$  theory contains multiplets in the **6** of SO(6). In the M-theory description, the  $A_3$  theory is defined on a stack of four M5-branes, whereas the  $D_3$  theory is realized by six M5-branes on the M-theory orientifold. We will find that subtle properties of the M-theory orientifold [7, 8] play crucial roles in this equivalence. All these facts support non-perturbative equivalence of the  $A_3$  theory and the  $D_3$  theory as six-dimensional superconformal theories.

Finally we will see that the isolated SCFT with  $E_7$  flavor symmetry [9] arises from a strongly-coupled limit of a particular quiver with a USp(4) factor, as anticipated in [5].

The paper is organized as follows: we start by reviewing the analysis of SU(N) quivers and their relation to the  $A_{N-1}$  theory in section 2. We then analyze the SO–USp quivers and their relation to the  $D_N$  theory in section 3. We conclude with some discussion in section 4. There are two appendices: appendix A is an analysis of SO(4)–USp(2) quivers in our framework. Appendix B contains a detailed derivation of the G-curve of SO–USp quivers from the corresponding Seiberg-Witten curve.

#### 2 Review: 6d $A_{N-1}$ theory and SU(N) quivers

#### 2.1 Superconformal quivers, G-curve and Young tableaux

Let us start by considering an  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric linear quiver gauge theory with a chain of SU groups

$$\operatorname{SU}(d_1) \times \operatorname{SU}(d_2) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{SU}(d_{n-1}) \times \operatorname{SU}(d_n),$$
 (2.1)

a bifundamental hypermultiplet between each pair of consecutive gauge groups  $SU(d_a) \times SU(d_{a+1})$ , and  $k_a$  extra fundamental hypermultiplets for  $SU(d_a)$ . To make every gauge coupling constant marginal, we require

$$k_a = d_{a-1} + d_{a+1} - 2d_a = (d_{a-1} - d_a) - (d_a - d_{a+1}),$$
(2.2)

where we defined  $d_0 = d_{n-1} = 0$ . Since  $k_a$  is non-negative, we have

$$d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_l = \dots = d_r > d_{r+1} > \dots > d_n.$$
(2.3)

Let us denote  $N = d_l = \cdots = d_r$ ; we refer to the parts to the right of  $d_r$  and to the left of  $d_l$  as the two tails of this superconformal quiver. Consider the tail on the right hand side of (2.3),

$$N = d_r > d_{r+1} > \dots > d_n.$$
(2.4)

 $d_a - d_{a+1}$  is monotonically non-decreasing because  $k_a \ge 0$ ; therefore we can associate naturally a Young tableau to the tail by requiring that it has a row of width  $d_a - d_{a+1}$  for each  $a \ge r$ . For illustration, the possible types of tails for N = 4 are shown in table 1. As is customary, a circle or a box with n inside stands for an SU(n) gauge group or flavor symmetry respectively, and a line connecting two objects stands for a bifundamental hypermultiplet.

The Seiberg-Witten curves for these quivers were originally found in [2], and rewritten into the following form in [1]: We start from a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$ , in this case  $\Sigma = \mathbb{CP}^1$ , with several punctures on it. Then the Seiberg-Witten curve is realized as a subspace of the total space  $T^*\Sigma$  of the bundle of holomorphic differential on  $\Sigma$ , given as follows:

$$0 = x^{N} + x^{N-2}\phi_{2} + x^{N-3}\phi_{3} + \dots + \phi_{N}$$
(2.5)

where x is a holomorphic differential on the Riemann surface  $\Sigma$ , and  $\phi_d$  is a degree-d differential with poles at the punctures, encoding vevs of Coulomb branch operators of dimension d. Then the Seiberg-Witten differential is x itself. This form makes the superconformal property of the theory manifest: one can assign the scaling dimension of the various fields to be equal to the degree of the corresponding differentials. One finds that, for the general quiver (2.3), one has n + 1 punctures of the same type, which we call the *simple punctures* and denote by •, and two extra punctures each of which encodes the type of the tails. We

Tableau	Alias	Flavor	Poles	Quiver	G-curve
			$(p_2, p_3, p_4)$		
	$\odot$	SU(4)	(1, 2, 3)	4-4-4	
⊞		$\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$	(1, 2, 2)	4-4-3-2	
		SU(2)	(1, 1, 2)	4-4-2	
	•	U(1)	(1, 1, 1)	4-4-3-2-1	
		none	(0, 0, 0)		

**Table 1.** Young tableaux with N boxes label the punctures of the six-dimensional  $A_{N-1}$  theory. The case N = 4 is shown here. Each tableau has its associated flavor symmetry, and worldvolume fields  $\phi_k$  are allowed to have poles of degree  $p_k$  at the puncture. A puncture whose tableau consists of one row of width N is also known as a *full puncture* and marked by  $\odot$ . A puncture whose tableau consists of one column of height N - 1 and another of height 1 is the same as a *simple puncture* marked by  $\bullet$ . For each tableau, a four-dimensional quiver gauge theory with the corresponding tail is shown. 'SU(1)' gauge groups need to be understood as a shorthand for the brane construction, as explained in the text.

label these two punctures by the Young tableaux associated to the corresponding tails. In table 1, the curves with punctures are shown along with the corresponding quiver gauge theories. The puncture whose tableau consists of one row of width N is called the *full puncture* and labeled by  $\odot$ .

As explained in [1], this system can be thought of as a compactification of the sixdimensional  $A_{N-1}$  (2,0)-theory on  $\Sigma$  with defects at the punctures. Recall that the  $A_{N-1}$ theory is the low-energy limit of the theory of N coincident M5-branes, or of the compactification of type IIB strings on the four-dimensional asymptotically locally Euclidean (ALE) space of type  $A_{N-1}$ ; this theory has operators of dimension 2, 3, ..., N. Compactifications on a Riemann surface which preserves the supersymmetry involve twisting as usual, which turns an operator of dimension d into a meromorphic differential of degree d. Another way to understand this twisting is to recall that the space in which the M5-branes are embedded needs to be hyperkähler to preserve  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry in four dimensions. The neighborhood of  $\Sigma$  in such a space can be approximated by  $T^*\Sigma$ , which is exactly the space used in (2.5). Then N solutions of (2.5) determine the position of N M5-branes in the fiber direction x, at each point of the base  $\Sigma$ . This construction generalizes the realization of Seiberg-Witten curves as compactifications of the  $A_{N-1}$  theory discussed in [10, 11]: the  $\phi_d$  are now allowed to have poles at a finite number of punctures on  $\Sigma$ . These describe conformal defects of the  $A_{N-1}$  theory.

At a simple puncture  $\phi_d$  is allowed to have a simple pole. The orders of poles  $\phi_d$  at a general puncture can be determined from the Seiberg-Witten curve of the corresponding superconformal quiver, and can be easily read off from the associated tableau. Given a tableau with rows of width  $w_1 \geq w_2 \geq w_3 \cdots$ , we define a sequence of integers  $\nu_i$  as follows

$$(\nu_1, \nu_2, \ldots) = (\underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{w_1}, \underbrace{2, \ldots, 2}_{w_2}, \cdots, ).$$
 (2.6)

Then,  $\phi_d$  is allowed to have poles of order  $p_d = d - \nu_d$ . The set of orders  $p_d$  define the *pole* structure of the puncture. Again for illustration, the tableaux and the corresponding pole structures  $(p_2, p_3, p_4)$  are listed in table 1 for the case N = 4.

One notable property is that the puncture associated to the tail whose tableau consists of one column of height N-1 and another of height 1 has the same pole structure as the simple puncture. Furthermore, the rightmost gauge group of the tail is SU(2) coupled effectively to four flavors, and the S-duality of this gauge group exchanges the puncture associated to the tail with the simple puncture. We therefore identify the simple puncture with the puncture associated to this tableau.

We call this set consisting of a Riemann surface  $\Sigma$  and punctures marked by Young tableaux the *G*-curve of the system, to distinguish it from the Seiberg-Witten curve. Given pole structures at the punctures, the number of moduli in  $\phi_d$  is the dimension of the space of the holomorphic differentials of degree *d* with prescribed singularities, given by the formula

$$\# \text{ moduli in } \phi_d = \left(\sum_{\text{punctures}} p_d^{(i)}\right) - (2d - 1) \tag{2.7}$$

where  $(p_d^{(i)})$  is the pole structure of the *i*-th puncture. The use of this formula will be illustrated in section 2.3.

In table 1, the tableau with one column of height 4 is also listed. In general, a tableau with one column of height N does not apparently have a corresponding superconformal tail, because the rule explained above would associate a tail of the form

$$\cdots \times \operatorname{SU}(N) \times \operatorname{SU}(N-1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{"SU}(1)".$$
(2.8)

One also finds that none of the  $\phi_d$  are allowed to have poles at the 'puncture' corresponding to this tableau.

This sounds problematic, but by using a string-theoretic construction one can make sense of it. Consider a brane configuration in type IIA string theory shown in figure 1. There, vertical lines represent NS5-branes and horizontal lines D4-branes suspended between them, as discussed in [2]. Thus this configuration shows the tail of a quiver with the gauge groups (2.8) where the "SU(1) part" just decouples in the infrared limit. Still, this configuration can be lifted to a configuration of a connected M5-brane in M-theory. Its rewriting produces a Riemann surface with simple punctures and one extra 'puncture', at



Figure 1. Brane configuration involving "SU(1)" part. Vertical lines stand for NS5 branes extended along  $x^{0,1,2,3,4,5}$ , and horizontal lines are D4-branes extended along  $x^{0,1,2,3,6}$  where the extent  $x^6$ is bounded by two NS5-branes. It would correspond to a quiver with gauge groups  $\cdots \times SU(3) \times$  $SU(2) \times "SU(1)"$ .

which none of  $\phi_d$  has poles. This extra puncture is not totally devoid of physical meaning, as its position encodes the separation of the last two NS5-branes, which roughly corresponds to the 'gauge coupling' of the 'SU(1) gauge group'. Therefore we find that it is natural to associate the Young tableau with one column of height N to this type of puncture.

#### 2.2 Punctures and associated flavor symmetries

Let us now consider the flavor symmetry associated to a puncture labeled by a given Young tableau. As we saw, a tail (2.4) of the SU(N) quiver gives a number of simple punctures and a puncture associated to the Young tableau with rows of width  $d_r - d_{r+1}$ ,  $d_{r+1} - d_{r+2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $d_{n-1} - d_n$ . One finds that the U(1) symmetry acting on each of the bifundamental hypermultiplets is carried by the simple punctures, and the flavor symmetries of  $k_a$  fundamental hypermultiplets of SU( $d_a$ ) gauge groups are associated to the punctures labeled by the tableau. We can easily read off the flavor symmetry from a given tableau: Let  $l_h$ be the number of columns of height h. Then, for each  $l_h \neq 0$  there are  $l_h$  fundamental hypermultiplets coupled to one of the gauge groups in the tail, which gives U( $l_h$ ) symmetry. The overall U(1) is carried by the simple puncture closest to the puncture labeled by the tableau. Therefore the flavor symmetry is given by

$$S\left[\prod_{l_h>0} U(l_h)\right].$$
(2.9)

For the tails of SU(4) quivers, these flavor symmetries are listed in table 1.

Let us note one curious mathematical fact: for a given tableau, we may associate an embedding of SU(2) into SU(N) described by the decomposition of the fundamental representation of SU(N) into the irreducible representations of SU(2), given by

$$N \to \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{l_1} + \underbrace{2+\dots+2}_{l_2} + \dots$$
 (2.10)

Then, its commutant inside SU(N) is easily seen to agree with (2.9).

# **2.3** SCFT with $SU(N)^3$ flavor symmetry

With the interpretation of the G-curve as the compactification of the  $A_{N-1}$  theory, one can easily derive various new types of S-duality, generalizing the ones found in [5]. As an



Figure 2. Construction of  $T_{SU(4)}$ . A circle or a box with n inside is an SU(n) gauge group or flavor symmetry, respectively. A line connecting two objects is a bifundamental hypermultiplet. The symbol  $\subset$  means that the subgroup of the flavor symmetry indicated couples to the corresponding gauge field. The triangle with three SU(4) flavor symmetry attached stands for the  $T_{SU(4)}$  theory.

example, let us recall the construction of a class of SCFTs with  $SU(N)^3$  flavor symmetry in [1], whose gravity dual was found in [6]. The general method was detailed in these papers, so we use a specific example of an SU(4) quiver to illustrate the procedure.

We start from the linear quiver shown in the first row of figure 2, and go to the region of the moduli space where three necks develop in the G-curve. Originally one has a  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ with nine punctures of type •; we split off three spheres, each with three simple punctures. Each endpoint of the necks becomes a full puncture  $\odot$ . Let us first split one sphere with three simple punctures, see the second row of figure 2. The SU(3) group in the tail

$$SU(3) \times SU(2) \tag{2.11}$$

becomes weakly coupled, and gauges the subgroup of the SU(4) flavor symmetry associated to the puncture. We repeat the process three times, and arrive at the situation shown in the third row of figure 2. The resulting theory was called  $T[A_3]$  in [1] and  $T_4$  in [6]. We call it  $T_{SU(4)}$  to reduce possible later confusion.

 $T_{\rm SU(4)}$  does not have a marginal coupling constant, because a configuration consisting of three points on a sphere has no modulus. The pole structure at each of the punctures is that  $\phi_{2,3,4}$  has poles of order 1, 2, 3. Applying the formula (2.7), one concludes that  $T_{\rm SU(4)}$  has one operator of dimension 3 and two operators of dimension 4. Then one can check that the quivers shown in figure 2 have the same number of Coulomb branch operators for each scaling dimension.

The central charges a and c of this theory can also be easily calculated because they are independent of exactly marginal deformations. It is more intuitive to parametrize the central charges a and c using the effective number of hyper- and vector multiplets  $n_v$  and  $n_h$ , as defined by the relation:

$$a = \frac{5n_v + n_h}{24}, \qquad c = \frac{2n_v + n_h}{12}.$$
 (2.12)

 $n_v$  and  $n_h$  of the total theory are obtained by counting the number of multiplets at the original weakly-coupled limit:

$$n_v(\text{total}) = 52, \qquad n_h(\text{total}) = 64.$$
 (2.13)

In the regime where the three necks develop, one has three tails, each with

$$n_v(\text{tail}) = 11, \qquad n_h(\text{tail}) = 8,$$
 (2.14)

Therefore we have

$$n_v(T_{SU(4)}) = 52 - 3 \times 11 = 19, \qquad n_h(T_{SU(4)}) = 64 - 3 \times 8 = 40.$$
 (2.15)

## 3 6d $D_N$ theory and SO-USp quivers

#### 3.1 Preliminary comments on SO and USp gauge groups

Having reviewed the construction the SU quivers, here we start the analysis of the SO–USp quivers. First we need to recall rudiments of these gauge groups, and also a few properties of hypermultiplets.

What is usually called a hypermultiplet in the representation R of a group G consists of an  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  chiral multiplet in the representation R and another in the conjugate representation  $R^*$ . When we have N copies of them the flavor symmetry is at least U(N). When R is strictly real, it enhances to USp(2N), as can be understood from the form of the  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superpotential. When R is pseudo-real, one  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  chiral multiplet in R forms an  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  hypermultiplet, which is called a *half-hypermultiplet* in R. When we have Ncopies of them, the flavor symmetry is SO(N).

Now let us consider an SO(n) gauge theory with  $N_f$  massless hypermultiplets in the vector representation of dimension n. It has  $\text{USp}(2N_f)$  flavor symmetry because the vector representation is strictly real. Here and in the following we use the convention that the fundamental representation of USp(2n) is of dimension 2n; thus in our notation  $\text{USp}(2) \simeq$  SU(2) and  $\text{USp}(4) \simeq \text{SO}(5)$  at the level of Lie algebra. The gauge coupling constant is marginal when  $N_f = n - 2$ .

Next consider a USp(2n) gauge theory with  $N_f$  hypermultiplets in the vector representation. The flavor symmetry is then SO $(2N_f)$ , and the theory becomes superconformal



Figure 3. On the left: an example of SO–USp quiver gauge theory. A circle or a box stands for a gauge group or a flavor symmetry, respectively. A gray object with n inside is an SO(n) group, a black object with n inside is a USp(n) group. On the right: the brane configuration realizing the quiver. The vertical lines stand for NS5-branes, the horizontal lines D4-branes suspended between them, and  $\otimes$  D6 branes. The dotted line represents the O4-plane. The color distinguishes two types of O4-planes.

when  $N_f = 2n + 2$ . It will be important that the vector representation, which is 2n-dimensional, is pseudo-real. This implies that one can form half-hypermultiplets, although one cannot have an odd number of half-hypermultiplets because of Witten's global anomaly. One can still gauge the subgroup  $SO(d) \times SO(2N_f - d) \subset SO(2N_f)$  for odd d, preserving  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry.

Therefore one can naturally consider a quiver theory with alternating gauge groups

$$\cdots \times \mathrm{SO}(d_a) \times \mathrm{USp}(d_{a+1}-2) \times \mathrm{SO}(d_{a+2}) \times \mathrm{USp}(d_{a+3}-2) \times \cdots$$
(3.1)

with bifundamental half-hypermultiplets between consecutive gauge groups, and possibly with extra hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation for the *a*-th gauge group. Here the bifundamental representation is the tensor product of the vector representation of SO group and the fundamental representation of USp group. We let  $k_a$  be twice the number of hypermultiplets in the vector representation if the *a*-th gauge group is SO, while we let it be the number of half-hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation if the *a*-th gauge group is USp. Then the flavor symmetry is USp( $k_a$ ) and SO( $k_a$ ), respectively. For convenience we define  $\delta_a = 0$  when the *a*-th gauge group is SO, and  $\delta_a = 2$  when it is USp.

The requirement of marginality of each of the gauge coupling constants can be written succinctly as

$$k_a = d_{a-1} + d_{a+1} - 2d_a, (3.2)$$

exactly as in the case of the quiver of SU gauge groups (2.2). One such superconformal quiver is shown in figure 3. There, a box stands for a flavor symmetry, and a circle a gauge symmetry; a gray one with n inside is an SO(n) group, and a black one is an USp(n) group; a line stands for a half-hypermultiplet in the bifundamental representation. The theory shown thus has the gauge group

$$SO(4) \times USp(2) \times SO(3)$$
 (3.3)

with bifundamentals, one extra hypermultiplet in the vector representation of SO(4), and one extra half-hypermultiplet in the fundamental representation of USp(2).

#### 3.2 From type IIA brane configuration to the G-curve

Let us realize the quiver theory introduced in the previous subsection via a system of NS5, D4 and D6 branes with orientifolds in type IIA string theory, which is schematically shown in figure 3. These systems and the corresponding Seiberg-Witten curves were first analyzed by [12–14]; the subtler aspects of the orientifolding procedure was later clarified in [7, 8, 15].

We start from the flat ten-dimensional spacetime, and put n + 1 NS5 branes extending along directions  $x^{0,1,2,3,4,5}$ . We perform the orientifolding, flipping directions  $x^{4,5,7,8,9}$ , which introduces an O4-plane in the system. One important aspect is that an O4<sup>-</sup>-plane becomes an O4<sup>+</sup>-plane when it crosses an NS5-brane, and vice versa. We define  $\delta_a$  to be 0 or 2 depending on the type of the O4-plane between *a*-th and (a + 1)-st NS5 brane, so that we have an SO gauge group when  $\delta_a = 0$  and a USp gauge group when  $\delta_a = 2$ . We analogously define  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_{n+1}$ .  $\delta_a$  accounts the difference of D4-charge carried by an O4<sup>-</sup>-plane and an O4<sup>+</sup> plane.

We then suspend  $d_a - \delta_a$  D4-branes between the *a*-th and (a + 1)-th NS5-branes. The *a*-th gauge group is  $G_a = \text{SO}(d_a)$  if  $\delta_a = 0$  and  $= \text{USp}(d_a - \delta_a)$  if  $\delta_a = 2$ . We denote by  $k_a$  the number of D6-branes, extending along  $x^{0,1,2,3,7,8,9}$ , between the *a*-th and (a + 1)-th NS5-branes; for simplicity we put all D6-branes on top of the O4-plane. This configuration realizes in the low-energy limit the quiver gauge theory specified by the sequences of numbers  $(d_a)$ ,  $(\delta_a)$  and  $(k_a)$ , as discussed in the previous subsection.

One example is depicted in figure 3: There, a vertical line stands for a NS5-brane, a horizontal solid line a D4-brane, a horizontal dotted line an O4-plane and an  $\otimes$  a D6brane. An O4<sup>-</sup>-plane is in blue and an O4<sup>+</sup>-plane is in red. We have the gauge group SO(4) × USp(2) × SO(3) with bifundamentals between consecutive gauge factors, and extra hypermultiplets in the fundamental representations for SO(4) and USp(2). The properties of the O4<sup>+</sup> plane to the left and to the right of the D6-brane on top of it is known to be slightly different, and the plane to the right is, properly speaking, an  $\widetilde{O4^+}$ -plane, which is important to guarantee that there is no Witten's global anomaly in the low energy gauge theory, see [8] for details.

Let us now consider a quiver with the gauge groups

$$USp(2N-2) \times SO(2N) \times USp(2N-2) \times \dots \times SO(2N) \times USp(2N-2),$$
(3.4)

with a total of n = 2s + 1 gauge factors, and with 2N massless half-hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation for each of the two USp(2N) gauge groups at the ends to make them superconformal. The Seiberg-Witten curve is given by [13]

$$F(v,t) = v^{2N}t^{n+1} + P_1(v^2)t^n + P_2(v^2)t^{n-1} + \dots + P_n(v^2)t + v^{2N} = 0, \qquad (3.5)$$

where

$$P_i(v^2) = c_i v^{2N} + u_i^{(2)} v^{2N-2} + u_i^{(4)} v^{2N-4} + \dots + u_i^{(2N)}.$$
(3.6)

Here  $u_i^{(2k)}$  is the Casimir of degree 2k of the *i*-th gauge group, except for  $u_i^{(2N)}$  when the *i*-th gauge group is USp(2N-2), for which no such Casimir exists. In fact, the zeros of

F(v,t) at v = 0 all need to be double zeros:

$$F(0,t) = u_1^{(2N)} t^n + u_2^{(2N)} t^{n-1} + \dots + u_n^{(2N)} t = \alpha t^2 \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} (t-q_i)^2$$
(3.7)

for some choice of  $\alpha$  and  $q_i$ . In particular this forces  $u_1^{(2N)} = u_n^{(2N)} = 0$ . This condition leaves s independent parameters  $\alpha$  and  $q_i$ , which encode the Casimir operators of s SO(2N) gauge factors.

This condition is necessary to prevent a so-called "t-configuration," i.e. a transversal intersection of a single M5-brane with the M-theory orientifold plane [7, 8].

The Seiberg-Witten curve can be rewritten in Gaiotto's form

$$0 = x^{2N} + \varphi_2 x^{2N-2} + \varphi_4 x^{2N-4} + \dots + \varphi_{2N}, \qquad (3.8)$$

where x = vdt/t is a holomorphic differential on the G-curve  $\Sigma = \mathbb{CP}^1$  parametrized by t.  $\varphi_{2k}$  encodes the vevs of Coulomb branch operators:

$$\varphi_{2k} = \frac{u_1^{(2k)} t^n + u_2^{(2k)} t^{n-1} + \dots + u_n^{(2k)} t}{\prod (t - t_a)} \left(\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{2k}.$$
(3.9)

Here the  $t_a$  are defined by

$$\prod (t - t_a) = t^{n+1} + c_1 t^n + \dots + c_n t + 1$$
(3.10)

which encodes the gauge coupling constants. We see that  $\varphi_{2k}$  is allowed to have a simple pole at n+1 points where  $t = t_i$ , whereas it is allowed to have poles of order 2k-1 at two points t = 0 and  $t = \infty$ .

As for  $\varphi_{2N}$ , the condition (3.7) means that it can be written as

$$\varphi_{2N} = \varphi_{\tilde{N}}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi_{\tilde{N}} = \frac{t \prod (t - q_i)}{\prod (t - t_a)^{1/2}} (\frac{dt}{t})^N. \tag{3.11}$$

 $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$  has  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  monodromy around  $t = t_a$ , with a pole of order 1/2; whereas it has no monodromy around  $t = 0, \infty$  and has poles of order N - 1.

The G-curve is shown as the first example in figure 4; there, we have taken 2N = 6and n = 3.  $\varphi_{2k}$  has simple poles and  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$  behaves as  $\sim 1/t^{1/2}$  at the punctures denoted by  $\times$  with the local coordinate t chosen such that the puncture is at t = 0.  $\varphi_{2k}$  has poles of order 2k - 1 and  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$  has poles of order N - 1 at the punctures denoted by  $\odot$ .

Again, this system can be thought of as the compactification of the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theory on  $\Sigma$ , with prescribed sets of singularities for the worldvolume fields. Recall that the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theory arises as the low-energy theory on a stack of 2N M5branes on top of the  $\mathbb{R}^5/\mathbb{Z}^2$  M-theory orientifold, or equivalently of the compactification of type IIB string theory on an ALE space of type  $D_N$ . This theory has operators of dimension 2, 4, ..., 2N - 2 and one extra operator of dimension N, which become the differentials  $\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \ldots, \varphi_{2N-2}$  and  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$ , respectively. The Lie algebra of type  $D_N$  has one outer automorphism, under which operators of dimension 2, 4, ..., 2N - 2 are even but



Figure 4. Examples of SO–USp quivers and their G-curves. Simple punctures are marked by  $\times$ . There are two types of full punctures. Each of the punctures labeled by  $\odot$  or  $\star$  has one SO(2N) or USp(2N - 2) flavor symmetry, respectively.

the extra one of dimension N is odd; it is the Pfaffian. The analysis above shows that the simple puncture × has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  monodromy of this outer automorphism associated to it. This agrees with the known fact that the transversal intersection of an M5-brane with the M-theory orientifold  $\mathbb{R}^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$  screened by an even number of M5-branes has an associated  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge [8].

Let us next consider the quiver with the gauge groups

$$SO(2N) \times USp(2N-2) \times \cdots \times USp(2N-2) \times SO(2N),$$
 (3.12)

with a total of 2s + 1 gauge groups. There are bifundamental hypermultiplets as always, and N-1 hypermultiplets in the fundamental representation for each of the SO(N) groups at the ends. The Seiberg-Witten curve is given again by (3.5), but the condition on the double zeros is now

$$F(0,t) = u_1^{(2N)} t^n + u_2^{(2N)} t^{n-1} + \dots + u_n^{(2N)} t = \alpha t \prod_{i=1}^s (t-q_i)^2.$$
(3.13)

There are s simple punctures at  $t_i$  as before, but the pole structure at  $t = 0, \infty$  is now different:  $\varphi_{2k}$  still has poles of order 2k - 1, but  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$  has a pole of order N - 1/2. In particular there is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  monodromy around  $t = 0, \infty$ . We label this type of punctures by  $\star$ . The case 2N = 6 is shown in the second line of figure 4.

The same exercise can be repeated with the quiver of the form

$$USp(2N-2) \times SO(2N-1) \times USp(2N-4) \times SO(2N-3) \times \cdots \times USp(2) \times SO(3), (3.14)$$

with bifundamentals between each pair of two consecutive gauge groups as always, and 2N + 1 extra fundamental half-hypermultiplets on the leftmost USp(2N - 2) gauge group. This quiver theory has a total of 2N - 2 gauge groups, and we find that the resulting G-curve has 2N punctures of type  $\times$  and one puncture of type  $\odot$ ; see appendix B for details. The case 2N = 6 is shown as the third example in figure 4.



**Figure 5.** Construction of  $T_{SO(6)}$ . The triangle with three SO(6) flavor symmetries attached stands for the  $T_{SO(6)}$ . The symbol  $\subset$  between the SO(6) flavor symmetry and the SO(5) gauge symmetry signifies that SO(5)  $\subset$  SO(6) is gauged.

# **3.3** SCFT with $SO(2N)^3$ flavor symmetry

By making use of the interpretation of SO–USp quivers as compactifications of the sixdimensional  $D_N$  theory, one can easily find their various infinitely strongly-coupled limits. As an exercise let us construct a theory with no marginal coupling constant and with  $SO(2N)^3$  flavor symmetry, which we denote as  $T_{SO(2N)}$ . The construction for the  $A_N$ theory was reviewed in section 2.3, which we closely follow.

For concreteness, let us first consider the case 2N = 6. Take two copies of the quiver theory of the third example of figure 4, and introduce an SO(6) gauge group which gauges the SO(6) flavor symmetry of the original one. One then has the linear quiver shown in the first row of figure 5, whose associated G-curve is a  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  with twelve punctures of type  $\times$ . Let us go to the region of the moduli space where three necks develop. We split off three spheres, each with four punctures of type  $\times$ . Each of the endpoints of the necks becomes a full puncture marked by  $\odot$ .

Let us first split off one sphere with three simple punctures, see the second row of figure 5. The group SO(5) in the tail

$$SO(5) \times USp(2) \times SO(3)$$
 (3.15)

becomes weakly coupled, and gauges the subgroup of the SO(6) flavor symmetry associated to the puncture. We repeat the process three times, and arrive at the situation shown in the third row of figure 5. Again,  $n_v$  and  $n_h$  of the total theory are obtained by counting the number of multiplets: first, the total theory has

$$n_v(\text{total}) = 67, \qquad n_h(\text{total}) = 64.$$
 (3.16)

In the regime where the three necks develop, one has three tails, each with

$$n_v(\text{tail}) = 16, \qquad n_h(\text{tail}) = 8.$$
 (3.17)

Therefore we have

$$n_v(T_{SO(6)}) = 67 - 3 \times 16 = 19, \qquad n_h(T_{SO(6)}) = 64 - 3 \times 8 = 40.$$
 (3.18)

These numbers are exactly the same as those for  $T_{SU(4)}$ , (2.15). Recall that the SU(4) quivers arose from the compactification of the worldvolume theory on four coincident M5 branes, whereas the SO(6)–USp(4) quivers arose from six coincident M5 branes on top of the  $\mathbb{R}^5/\mathbb{Z}_2$  orientifold singularity. These two systems give the same low-energy six-dimensional  $A_3 \simeq D_3$  (2,0) theory. Therefore, they should result in the same SCFT in four dimensions, because we compactified the same theory on the same surface, with the same number of the same type of defects. The agreement of  $n_v$  and  $n_h$  of  $T_{SU(4)}$  and  $T_{SO(6)}$  is expected from the six-dimensional viewpoint, but is quite nontrivial from the perspective of four-dimensional gauge theory.

The construction of  $T_{SO(2N)}$  can be done analogously. We start from a linear quiver with 6N - 9 gauge groups

$$SO(3) \times USp(2) \times \cdots USp(2N-4) \times SO(2N-1) \times USp(2N-2) \times SO(2N) \times \cdots \times SO(2N) \times USp(2N-2) \times SO(2n-1) \times USp(2N-4) \times \cdots \times USp(2) \times SO(3), \quad (3.19)$$

with a bifundamental half-hypermultiplet between each pair of two consecutive groups, and one half-hypermultiplet in the fundamental for the first and the last USp(2N-2) gauge groups. The G-curve then is a sphere with 3(2N-2) punctures of type  $\times$ . One can split off three spheres with 2N-2 punctures each, thus decoupling three tails of the form

$$SO(3) \times USp(2) \times \cdots USp(2N-4) \times SO(2N-1).$$
 (3.20)

This results in a theory described by a G-curve with three punctures of type  $\odot$ . We then have

$$n_v(T_{\text{SO}(2N)}) = \frac{8N^3}{3} - 7N^2 + \frac{10N}{3}, \qquad n_h(T_{\text{SO}(2N)}) = \frac{8N^3}{3} - 4N^2 + \frac{4N}{3}.$$
(3.21)

Now we can paste multiple copies of  $T_{SO(2N)}$  by gauging SO(2N) groups to find a four-dimensional realization of the compactification of the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theory. It

would be interesting to extend the holographic analysis of [6] to this case and reproduce  $n_h$  and  $n_v$  from the gravity solution.

It is natural to ask if there is a theory whose G-curve is a sphere with three punctures of type  $\star$  and with  $USp(2N-2)^3$  flavor symmetry. This is impossible because one cannot have  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  monodromy at three points on the sphere. Instead it is possible to construct a theory whose G-curve is a sphere with two punctures of type  $\star$  and one puncture of type  $\odot$ , by performing a similar procedure to the one presented above. The flavor symmetry is then  $SO(2N) \times USp(2N-2)^2$ . The important point is that two punctures of type  $\star$  appear when a G-curve degenerates and develops a neck with  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  monodromy around it.

#### 3.4 Tails, tableaux and flavor symmetries

Let us now classify possible types of superconformal tails of the SO–USp quivers. We found in section 3.1 that the requirement of the marginality of coupling constants implies

$$d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_l = d_{l+1} = \dots = d_r > d_{r+1} > \dots d_n.$$
(3.22)

We let  $2N = d_l = \cdots = d_r$ . Then we can associate a Young tableau with rows of widths  $d_r - d_{r+1}, d_{r+1} - d_{r+2}, \ldots$ , as was the case for the tails of SU quivers. There are two crucial differences, however. One is that we need to distinguish the cases for which the last gauge group is SO or USp; the other is that not all of the tableaux are allowed because  $d_a$  for a USp gauge group needs to be even.

For a given tail, let us then associate a tableau with the following rule:

- If it ends with a USp group, associate a tableau, with gray boxes, whose rows are of width  $d_r d_{r+1}$ ,  $d_{r+1} d_{r+2}$ , .... One has 2N boxes in total.
- If it ends with an SO group, associate a tableau, with black boxes, whose rows are again of width  $d_r d_{r+1}$ ,  $d_{r+1} d_{r+2}$ , ..., *except* the last row, for which we let the width be  $d_n d_{n+1} 2 = d_n 2$ . This procedure is consistent because the smallest SO group one can have is SO(3). One has 2N 2 boxes in total.

To help grasp the procedure, we list all the tails of SO(6)–USp(4) quivers in table 2 and in table 3. Note that a tableau with one row of 2N gray boxes corresponds to the puncture of type  $\odot$ , the tableau with one column of 2N - 2 black boxes to the puncture of type  $\times$ , and the tableau with one row of 2N - 2 black boxes to the puncture of type  $\star$  that we used in the previous subsection; we use these notations interchangeably.

Let  $l_h$  be the number of columns of height h in a given tableau. One finds in general that

•  $l_h$  for even h is even for a gray tableau; it just guarantees that  $d_a$  be even for USp gauge groups. Then one can associate an embedding of SU(2) into SO(2N),  $\rho$  : SU(2)  $\rightarrow$  SO(2N), given by the decomposition of the vector representation 2N of SO(2N) under SU(2) via

$$2N \to \underbrace{1+1+\dots+1}_{l_1} + \underbrace{2+\dots+2}_{l_2} + \dots$$
 (3.23)



**Table 2**. One class of punctures of the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theories are marked by SO tableaux, which encode embeddings of SU(2) into SO(2N). On the right of the each tableau are the associated flavor symmetry and a quiver theory whose G-curve has a corresponding puncture. A puncture whose tableau consists of one row of width 2N is a full puncture  $\odot$ . Quivers with USp(0) 'gauge group' need to be understood as a shorthand for the brane configurations, as explained in the text.

Recall that the irreducible representation of SU(2) of dimension h for even h is pseudoreal. The embedding above is possible because  $l_h$  copies of this irreducible representation can be strictly real,  $l_h$  being even. Thus we call such a tableau an SO(2N)tableau.

• Similarly,  $l_h$  for odd h is even for a black tableau. Again, this just guarantees that  $d_a$  is even for USp gauge groups. Let us then associate an embedding  $\rho$  of SU(2) into USp(2N-2), given by the decomposition of the fundamental representation 2N-2 of USp(2N-2) under SU(2) via

$$2N - 2 \rightarrow \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_{l_1} + \underbrace{2 + \dots + 2}_{l_2} + \dots$$
 (3.24)

Recall that the irreducible representation of SU(2) of dimension h for odd h is strictly real. The embedding above is possible because  $l_h$  copies of this irreducible representation can be pseudo-real,  $l_h$  being even. Thus we call such a tableau a USp(2N-2) tableau.

In this way, we associate a tableau for each superconformal tail, which encodes its information concisely. One can observe the following facts concerning superconformal tails and the flavor symmetries associated to them:

Tableau	Alias	Flavor	Quiver	G-curve
	*	USp(4)	6-4-6-4	
		USp(2)	6 <b>4</b> 5 <b>2</b>	
		SO(2)	644	
	×	none	69523	x x x

**Table 3.** Another class of punctures of the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theories are marked by USp tableaux, which encode SU(2) embeddings into USp(2N - 2). On the right of the each tableau are the associated flavor symmetry and a quiver theory whose G-curve has a corresponding puncture. A puncture whose tableau consists of one column of height 2N - 2 is a simple puncture  $\times$ , and a puncture whose tableau consists of one row of width 2N - 2 is a full puncture  $\star$ .

• For a tail ending in a USp group, the flavor symmetry associated to it is

$$\prod_{h: \text{odd}, l_h \ge 2} \text{SO}(l_h) \times \prod_{h: \text{even}, l_h \ge 2} \text{USp}(l_h),$$
(3.25)

which coincides exactly with the commutant inside SO(2N) of the SU(2) embedding associated to the tableau labeling the tail.

• Similarly, for a tail ending in an SO group, the flavor symmetry is

$$\prod_{h:\mathrm{odd},l_h\geq 2} \mathrm{USp}(l_h) \times \prod_{h:\mathrm{even},l_h\geq 2} \mathrm{SO}(l_h), \qquad (3.26)$$

which agrees with the commutant inside USp(2N-2) of the SU(2) embedding associated to the tableau.

Conversely, given a USp(2N - 2) tableau one can always construct a superconformal tail ending in an SO gauge group, and given an SO(2N) tableau one can write down a tail ending in a USp gauge group. However, there is one class of exceptions which are SO(2N) tableaux consisting of just two columns, associated to the decomposition

$$2N \to (2N-k) + k. \tag{3.27}$$

Here k is odd unless N is even, in which case k = N is also allowed. Naive application of the algorithm above associates a superconformal tail of the form

$$\cdots \times SO(6) \times USp(4) \times SO(4) \times "USp(0)"$$
 (3.28)



Figure 6. Brane configuration involving "USp(0)" part. It would correspond to a quiver with gauge groups  $\cdots \times SO(6) \times USp(4) \times SO(4) \times "USp(0)$ ".

which does not make sense in a purely gauge-theoretic setting. However, as was the case for SU quivers, one can still write down a brane configuration corresponding to this situation (Figure 6) and consistently lift it to M-theory.<sup>1</sup>

Finally let us discuss the behavior of  $\varphi_{2k}$  and  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$  at the punctures, which can be found by a careful analysis of the Seiberg-Witten curves. One finds that it is not sufficient to specify the degrees of the poles for each  $\varphi_{2k}$  or  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$ , contrary to the case of the  $A_N$  theory. For example, at the puncture associated to the SO(6) tableau corresponding to  $6 \rightarrow 3+3$ , we find the following two conditions, whose derivation can be found in appendix B:

- $\varphi_2, \varphi_{\tilde{3}}$  and  $\varphi_4$  have poles of degree 1, 1, 2 respectively; and
- $(\varphi_2)^2 4\varphi_4$  has only a simple pole.

The second condition guarantees that the M5-brane wrapping the Seiberg-Witten curve does not have a single, transversal intersection with the M-theory orientifold.

This sounds slightly puzzling, considering the fact that the  $D_3$  theory in six dimensions is equivalent to the  $A_3$  theory, for which the defects were classified and such a polynomial constraint was not found. Indeed, the decomposition  $6 \rightarrow 3+3$  of the **6** of SO(6) corresponds to  $4 \rightarrow 3 + 1$  of the **4** of SU(4), for which the pole structure was just that all  $\phi_{2,3,4}$  have simple poles at the puncture, see table 1. But upon further reflection this is exactly what is expected. Say that an element of the Cartan subalgebra of SO(6) acts on the **6** as

$$diag(a, -a, b, -b, c, -c);$$
 (3.29)

then it acts on the **4** as

$$diag(a+b+c, a-b-c, -a+b-c, -a-b+c)/2.$$
(3.30)

Therefore  $\phi_4$  and  $\varphi_4$  cannot be just equated; instead they satisfy

$$2\phi_2 = \varphi_2, \qquad 16\phi_4 = \varphi_2^2 - 4\varphi_4.$$
 (3.31)

Thus we find that the condition on the worldvolume fields as found from the  $D_3$  theory is the same as the one found from the point of view of the  $A_3$  theory.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This situation might be related to the appearance for USp(0) gauge group of the gluino condensate in the framework of Dijkgraaf-Vafa [16], which was later interpreted as the stringy D-brane instanton contributions in [17]. It would be interesting to clarify the relation; the author thanks Masaki Shigemori and Iñaki García-Etxebarria for discussions.



Figure 7. S-duality involving the SCFT with  $E_7$  flavor symmetry



Figure 8. Extended Dynkin diagram of  $E_7$  showing subgroup  $SU(4)^2 \times SU(2)$ 

# 3.5 Duality with SCFT with $E_7$ flavor symmetry

Having analyzed general punctures of the  $D_N$  theory, we can now have some more fun. Let us start from the quiver with gauge groups USp(4) × SO(5) × USp(2), shown in the first row of figure 7. As before, we can go to a region where four punctures of type × collide, decoupling a tail with gauge groups SO(5) × USp(2) × SO(3). The resulting stronglycoupled theory has no marginal coupling because there are only three punctures on the sphere. There is only one Coulomb branch operator and its dimension is four, because the original theory contained three operators of dimension two and two of dimension four, whereas the decoupled tail has three dimension-two and one dimension-four operators.

This suggests that this theory is the  $E_7$  SCFT of Minahan-Nemeschansky [9]. One can perform many tests of the proposal: one can easily check that the central charges a and cagree with what were found in [5]; and the flavor symmetry manifest in this description is naturally a subgroup of  $E_7$ ,

$$\mathrm{SO}(6)^2 \times \mathrm{SO}(3) \simeq \mathrm{SU}(4)^2 \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \subset E_7.$$
 (3.32)

This subgroup comes from the decomposition of the extended Dynkin diagram, see figure 8. The flavor symmetry central charges of this subgroup agree with those of  $E_7$ , which were found in [5].

The S-duality found in [5] involving the  $E_7$  SCFT started from USp(4) gauge theory with twelve half-hypermultiplets, which is exactly the first example in table 2. The infinitely strongly-coupled limit corresponds to collapsing two singularities of type  $\times$ . The analysis above indicates that this procedure results in one Young tableau with columns of height 3, 1, 1, 1. It would be fruitful to analyze which defects can arise when two defects of general type collide. Such collisions should provide a wealth of new S-dualities.

#### 4 Discussion

In this paper, we generalized the construction of [1], which realized many four-dimensional SCFTs as compactifications of the six-dimensional  $A_{N-1}$  theory, to the  $D_N$  theory. We utilized this construction to find a new class of isolated SCFTs with  $SO(2N)^3$  flavor symmetry, which arise in strongly-coupled limits of linear quivers of SO and USp gauge groups. We also saw how the  $E_7$  SCFT of Minahan and Nemeschansky arises from this construction.

In [1], it was noted that the types of tails of SU superconformal quivers for sixdimensional  $A_{N-1}$  theory can be naturally associated to Young tableaux; we can naturally associate an embedding of SU(2) into SU(N) to such Young tableau, whose commutant inside SU(N) gave the flavor symmetry of that tail. In this paper, the analysis was extended to alternating SO–USp quivers for the six-dimensional  $D_N$  theory and it was found that the tails of such quivers can naturally be associated to an embedding of SU(2) into either SO(2N) or USp(2N - 2); again, the flavor symmetry associated to the tail is given by the commutant of that embedding of SU(2).

We also saw that the simplest kinds of defects of the  $D_N$  theory have  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  monodromy for the Pfaffian operator. This is suggestive in that the Pfaffian is odd under the outer automorphism of the  $D_N$  Lie algebra, whose quotient is exactly USp(2N - 2), which was used in the labeling of the tails. It would be interesting to consider defects associated to other outer automorphisms of  $A_{N-1}$  or  $D_4$ , and identify their realizations using quiver gauge theory.

The most pressing issue is to find out how the association to the defects of an embedding of SU(2) into SU, SO or USp groups can be intrinsically understood from a sixdimensional point of view, and how these embeddings control the behavior of the scalar fields around them. These defects are of codimension two. Therefore, if we compactify the six-dimensional (2,0) theory on a torus parallel to the worldvolume of the defects, we obtain surface operators of the  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills in four dimensions. The study of such surface operators was initiated in [18]. There, it was found that embeddings of SU(2) naturally appear which specify the singular part of the field configuration around the defect. Therefore, the problem seems to be in identifying which of the possible defects of four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills descend from those of six-dimensional theories. It would be interesting to pursue this relation further.

#### Acknowledgments

It is a great privilege for the author to thank Davide Gaiotto for many illuminating discussions. The author is also indebted to Alfred D. Shapere for careful reading of and many detailed comments on the manuscript. He also benefited from discussions with L. Fernando Alday, Iñaki García-Etxebarria, Juan M. Maldacena, Nathan Seiberg, Masaki Shigemori



**Figure 9.** An SO(4)–USp(2) quiver and the same quiver as an SU(2) generalized quiver. Corresponding G-curves are also shown. In the first description the curve is a sphere with eight punctures; in the second it is a hyperelliptic genus-three curve.

and Yoske Sumitomo. The author is supported in part by the NSF grant PHY-0503584, and in part by the Marvin L. Goldberger membership at the Institute for Advanced Study.

# A SO(4)-USp(2) quivers

It is instructive to analyze the simplest case of the SO–USp quiver, namely the case with SO(4)–USp(2) using our formalism. Consider a linear quiver theory with the gauge group

$$SO(3) \times USp(2) \times SO(4) \times \cdots USp(2) \times SO(3),$$
 (A.1)

with s SO(4), s + 1 USp(2) and two SO(3) factors. The case s = 1 is shown in the diagram 1) of figure 9. Following the procedure explained in the main part, we find that the Seiberg-Witten curve is specified by the G-curve  $\Sigma = \mathbb{CP}^1$  with 2s + 6 punctures of the same type; we have two quadratic differentials on  $\Sigma$ ,  $\varphi_2$  and  $\varphi_{\tilde{2}}$  corresponding to two Casimirs of SO(4), namely the trace of the square and the Pfaffian. At each puncture,  $\varphi_2 \sim (dt)^2/t$  and  $\varphi_{\tilde{2}} \sim (dt)^2/t^{1/2}$  where t is a local coordinate for which the puncture is at t = 0.

Now let us recall that  $SO(4) \simeq SU(2) \times SU(2)$ , and the vector representation of SO(4) is the tensor product of the doublets of each of the SU(2) factors; also that  $SO(3) \simeq SU(2)$  and the vector representation of SO(3) is in the tensor product of two doublets. We neglect possible issues coming from the global structure of the groups. This should not cause any problems as long as we consider theories on the flat  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .

Then the quiver can also be presented as in the diagram 2) of figure 9 in the notation of [1]. In this case the G-curve is a genus-(s + 2) Riemann surface  $\Xi$  and there is a quadratic differential  $\phi_2$  on it. An important constraint is that in the description as an SO(4)–USp(2) quiver, we cannot independently vary the coupling constants of two SU(2) factors of SO(4). It is natural to guess that this requirement translates to the fact that the curve  $\Xi$  is hyperelliptic. Indeed, it gives the correct number of marginal coupling constants because the number of the moduli of hyperelliptic curves of genus s + 2 is 2s + 3, which agrees with the number of gauge factors in the quiver (A.1). Now  $\Xi$  is equipped with the hyperelliptic involution  $\iota$  which flips the two sheets; the fixed points are exactly the branch points on  $\Sigma$ . We can split  $\phi_2$  on  $\Xi$  into even and odd parts under  $\iota$ :

$$\phi_2 = \phi_2^+ + \phi_2^-. \tag{A.2}$$

We then regard  $\phi_2^{\pm}$  as differentials on  $\Sigma$ .

Pick a puncture on  $\Sigma$  and take the local coordinate t so that the puncture is at t = 0. The local coordinates on  $\Xi$  is then  $s = t^{1/2}$ .  $\phi_2^+$  is holomorphic and even in s, which translates to the condition

$$\phi_2^+ \sim (ds^2) \sim (dt^2)/t,$$
 (A.3)

implying that  $\phi_2^+$  has a simple pole at the branch points. Similarly,  $\phi_2^-$  behaves as

$$\phi_2^- \sim s(ds^2) \sim (dt^2)/t^{1/2}.$$
 (A.4)

Therefore we can identify  $\varphi_2$  with  $\phi_2^+$  and  $\varphi_{\tilde{2}}$  with  $\phi_2^-$ .

## **B** Curves for general SO-USp quivers

Here we provide some details of the derivation of the Seiberg-Witten curves for general linear quiver gauge theories with alternating SO and USp gauge groups. The brane construction was reviewed in section 3.2, see figure 3 for a drawing of the system.

Let us first recall how D6 branes lift to a Taub-NUT space in M-theory. Let  $N_f$  be the total number of D6 branes. When all of them are at  $x^4 = x^5 = 0$ , the resulting Taub-NUT space is given as a complex manifold by the equation

$$yz = v^{N_f},\tag{B.1}$$

and the orientifolding in M-theory acts by sending  $v \to -v$ . The action of orientifolding on y and z depends on the quiver; for simplicity we assume that y is fixed for now.

The origin y = z = v = 0 is blown up as long as the positions of D6-branes along  $x^6$  directions are distinct. The blown-up, smooth manifold is given by introducing extra pairs of local coordinates  $(y_i, z_i)$  at the *i*-th nut  $i = 1, 2, ..., N_f$ , such that

$$y_1 z_1 = y_2 z_2 = \dots = v,$$
 (B.2)

and

$$y = y_1, \quad z_1 = 1/y_2, \quad z_2 = 1/y_3, \quad \dots, \quad z_{N_f} = z.$$
 (B.3)

There are  $N_f - 1 \mathbb{CP}^1$ 's parametrized by  $y_{2,3,\ldots,N_f}$  which we call  $C_i$ , see figure 10. We analogously define the loci z = 0 and y = 0 to be  $C_0$ ,  $C_{N_f}$ . The relations (B.2), (B.3) imply that the orientifolding fixes  $C_{\text{even}}$  but that it acts by multiplication by -1 on  $C_{\text{odd}}$ . Therefore the M-theory orientifold exists at  $C_{\text{even}}$ , but not at  $C_{\text{odd}}$ .

Now let us consider an SO–USp superconformal quiver gauge theory for the  $D_N$  theory, specified by integers  $(d_a)$ ,  $(\delta_a)$  and  $(k_a)$ , see section 3.2 for notations. The Seiberg-Witten curve is a curve in the Taub-NUT space discussed above [13, 14], given by

$$F(v,t) = v^{2N}t^{n+1} + v^{2N-d_1}P_1(v)t^n + v^{2N-d_2}P_2(v)t^{n-2} + \cdots + v^{2N-d_n}P_n(v)t + v^{2N} = 0.$$
 (B.4)



Figure 10. Schematic description of the Taub-NUT space, the lift of D6-branes.  $C_1$  to  $C_{N_f}$  are blown-up two-cycles.

where  $t = y_k$  and k is the number of D6-branes of the left hand tail of the superconformal quiver.  $P_a(v)$  is a polynomial of degree  $d_a$ , even or odd in v according to the parity of  $d_a$ :

$$P_a(v) = c_a v^{d_a} + u_a^{(2)} v^{d_a - 2} + u_a^{(4)} v^{d_a - 4} + \cdots$$
(B.5)

In the semi-classical regime,  $c_a$  encodes the gauge coupling constant, whereas  $u_a^{(2k)}$  encodes the vacuum expectation values of the adjoint scalar field of the *a*-th gauge groups, *except* the constant term of  $P_a(v)$  for which the gauge group is USp. These constant terms are determined by the requirement that the resulting M5-brane intersects the cycles  $C_i$  in a manner consistent with orientifolding in M-theory. The main condition is that an M5 brane cannot intersect with the M-theory orientifold five-plane transversally; an even number of M5-branes need to intersect at a point on an orientifold five-plane. This condition was first formulated in [7]. Refer to [8] for more details.

Let us define x = v dt/t and

$$\varphi_{2k} = \frac{u_1^{(2k)}t^n + u_2^{(2k)}t^{n-1} + \dots + u_n^{(2k)}t}{\prod(t - t_a)} \left(\frac{dt}{t}\right)^{2k}, \quad (B.6)$$

where we defined  $u_a^{(2k)} = 0$  when  $d_a < 2k$ , and  $\prod (t - t_a) = t^{n+1} + c_1 t^n + \dots + 1$ . Then one can rewrite the curve above into Gaiotto's form:

$$0 = x^{2N} + \varphi_2 x^{2N-2} + \varphi_4 x^{2N-4} + \dots + \varphi_{2N}.$$
 (B.7)

The structure of the poles at the punctures at  $t = 0, \infty$  can be readily found from the form (B.6), but the conditions imposed on the constant terms of  $P_a(v)$  by the consistency of the M-theory orientifold are rather intricate, and the author has not found a concise way to express them for a general sequence of gauge groups. Instead they are illustrated through two examples, which were used in the main part of the paper.

The first example is the quiver drawn in figure 11, which was the main topic of section 3.3. The Taub-NUT space  $yz = v^2$  is given in the right hand side of the same figure. The red broken arrow on  $C_0$  and  $C_2$  indicates that the orientifolding sends  $y \to -y$  and  $z \to -z$ . The Seiberg-Witten curve was given in (B.4). t is the local coordinate of  $C_1$ . Let n = 2b + 7 be the total number of gauge groups; b is the number of SO(6) gauge groups. The consistent way to eliminate extra parameters in  $P_{\text{even}}$  is then to set the constant parts



Figure 11. A quiver, and the corresponding Taub-NUT space in which its Seiberg-Witten curve is embedded. Orientifolding fixes  $C_1$ , but acts as multiplication by -1 on  $C_0$  and  $C_2$ .



Figure 12. Another quiver, and the corresponding Taub-NUT in which its Seiberg-Witten curve is embedded. Orientifolding fixes  $C_0$  and  $C_1$ , but acts as multiplication by -1 on  $C_1$ .

of  $P_2$ ,  $P_4$ ,  $P_{2b+4}$  and  $P_{2b+6}$  to zero, and to require

$$F(0,t) = \alpha t^5 \prod_{i=1}^{b-1} (t - q_i)^2$$
(B.8)

for some complex numbers  $\alpha$ ,  $q_i$ . Indeed, the intersection of  $C_1$  with the Seiberg-Witten curve is given by the double zeros  $q_i$ , as required by the consistency of the M-theory orientifold [8]. Furthermore, the intersection with  $C_0$  is given by

$$u_1^{(2)}y^2 - u_3^{(4)} = 0 (B.9)$$

which is compatible with the orientifolding action. The same can be said for  $C_2$ . Under these constraints, one finds that  $\varphi_2, \varphi_4, \ldots, \varphi_{2N}$  all have simple poles at t = 0. This translates to the behavior  $\sim 1/t^{1/2}$  for  $\varphi_{\tilde{N}}$ .

The second example is the quiver drawn in figure 12; USp(0) needs to be taken as a shorthand for the corresponding brane configuration. This time  $C_0$  and  $C_2$  are both fixed by the orientifolding. The Seiberg-Witten curve is

$$0 = v^{6}y^{4} + (c_{1}v^{6} + u_{1}^{(2)}v^{4} + u_{1}^{(4)}v^{2} + u_{1}^{(6)})y^{3} + v^{2}(c_{2}v^{4} + u_{2}^{(2)}v^{2} + u_{2}^{(4)})y^{2} + v^{4}(c_{3}v^{2} + u_{3}^{(2)})y + v^{6}.$$
 (B.10)

The intersection of this curve with  $C_2$  parameterized by z is determined by

$$u_1^{(6)} + u_2^{(4)}z + u_3^{(2)}z^2 + z^3 = 0.$$
(B.11)

Now,  $C_2$  is a fixed locus of the M-theory orientifold, and no M5-brane is wrapped on it. Therefore, the intersection needs to be a double zero when an M5-brane intersects on it. This requires  $u_1^{(6)} = 0$  and  $4u_2^{(4)} = (u_3^{(2)})^2$ , which leads to a simple pole in  $\varphi_2$  and a double pole in  $\varphi_4$  such that  $4\varphi_4 - (\varphi_2)^2$  only has a simple pole. This property was crucial when we matched this defect of the  $D_3$  theory with the simple puncture of the  $A_3$  theory. In a similar manner, one finds that a quiver ending with groups SO(2k)-USp(k-2) will allow a simple pole in  $\varphi_k$  and a double pole in  $\varphi_{2k}$ , such that  $4\varphi_{2k} - (\varphi_k)^2$  only has a simple pole.

#### References

- [1] D. Gaiotto,  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  dualities, arXiv:0904.2715 [SPIRES].
- [2] E. Witten, Solutions of four-dimensional field theories via M-theory, Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 3 [hep-th/9703166] [SPIRES].
- [3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N = 2 supersymmetric QCD, Nucl. Phys. B 431 (1994) 484 [hep-th/9408099] [SPIRES].
- [4] J.A. Minahan and D. Nemeschansky, An N = 2 superconformal fixed point with  $E_6$  global symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 482 (1996) 142 [hep-th/9608047] [SPIRES].
- [5] P.C. Argyres and N. Seiberg, S-duality in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric gauge theories, JHEP 12 (2007) 088 [arXiv:0711.0054] [SPIRES].
- [6] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, The gravity duals of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal field theories, arXiv:0904.4466 [SPIRES].
- J. de Boer, K. Hori, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, Branes and dynamical supersymmetry breaking, Nucl. Phys. B 522 (1998) 20 [hep-th/9801060] [SPIRES].
- [8] K. Hori, Consistency condition for fivebrane in M-theory on R<sup>5</sup>/Z<sub>2</sub> orbifold, Nucl. Phys. B 539 (1999) 35 [hep-th/9805141] [SPIRES].
- [9] J.A. Minahan and D. Nemeschansky, Superconformal fixed points with  $E_N$  global symmetry, Nucl. Phys. **B** 489 (1997) 24 [hep-th/9610076] [SPIRES].
- [10] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, P. Mayr, C. Vafa and N.P. Warner, Self-dual strings and N = 2 supersymmetric field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 477 (1996) 746 [hep-th/9604034] [SPIRES].
- [11] S. Katz, P. Mayr and C. Vafa, Mirror symmetry and exact solution of 4D N = 2 gauge theories. I, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 1 (1998) 53 [hep-th/9706110] [SPIRES].
- [12] N.J. Evans, C.V. Johnson and A.D. Shapere, Orientifolds, branes and duality of 4D gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 505 (1997) 251 [hep-th/9703210] [SPIRES].
- [13] K. Landsteiner, E. Lopez and D.A. Lowe, N = 2 supersymmetric gauge theories, branes and orientifolds, Nucl. Phys. B 507 (1997) 197 [hep-th/9705199] [SPIRES].
- [14] A. Brandhuber, J. Sonnenschein, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, *M-theory and Seiberg-Witten curves: orthogonal and symplectic groups*, *Nucl. Phys.* B 504 (1997) 175 [hep-th/9705232] [SPIRES].
- [15] E.G. Gimon, On the M-theory interpretation of orientifold planes, hep-th/9806226 [SPIRES].
- [16] K.A. Intriligator, P. Kraus, A.V. Ryzhov, M. Shigemori and C. Vafa, On low rank classical groups in string theory, gauge theory and matrix models, Nucl. Phys. B 682 (2004) 45 [hep-th/0311181] [SPIRES].
- [17] I. García-Etxebarria, D-brane instantons and matrix models, arXiv:0810.1482 [SPIRES].
- [18] S. Gukov and E. Witten, Gauge theory, ramification, and the geometric Langlands program, hep-th/0612073 [SPIRES].